

practice midterm . solutions

1. We have

$$(x-1)(x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1) = x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x - x^5-x^4-x^3-x^2-x-1 = x^6-1$$

and since $z^6=1$ (see quiz 1), by substituting in the above polynomial, we get

$$(z-1)(z^5+z^4+z^3+z^2+1) = z^6-1 = 0 \Rightarrow z^5+z^4+z^3+z^2+z+1 = 0$$

since $z-1 \neq 0$.

2. We check the 7 conditions defining a vector space for $V \times W$ with the operations defined in the question:

- 1) $(v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2) = (v_1+v_2, w_1+w_2) = (v_2+v_1, w_2+w_1) = (v_2, w_2) + (v_1, w_1)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{commutativity in } V \text{ and } W}$
- 2) $(v_1, w_1) + ((v_2, w_2) + (v_3, w_3)) = (v_1, w_1) + ((v_2+v_3, w_2+w_3)) = (v_1+(v_2+v_3), w_1+(w_2+w_3)) = ((v_1+v_2)+v_3, (w_1+w_2)+w_3)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{associativity in } V \text{ and } W}$
- 3) $0 = (0, 0)$, $0 + (v, w) = (0, 0) + (v, w) = (0+v, 0+w) = (v, w)$. $\xrightarrow{\text{By (1) in } V \text{ and } W}$
- 4) For $v \in V, w \in W$, we have $(-v, -w) \in V \times W$ and $(v, w) + (-v, -w) = (v-v, w-w) = (0, 0)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{since 1 acts as identity in both } V \text{ and } W}$
- 5) $1 \cdot (v, w) = (1 \cdot v, 1 \cdot w) = (v, w)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{By (6) in } V \text{ and } W}$
- 6) $\lambda \cdot (\mu(v, w)) = \lambda \cdot (\mu v, \mu w) = (\lambda(\mu v), \lambda(\mu w)) = ((\lambda\mu)v, (\lambda\mu)w) = (\lambda\mu)(v, w)$
- 7) $\lambda((v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2)) = \lambda \cdot (v_1+v_2, w_1+w_2) = (\lambda(v_1+v_2), \lambda(w_1+w_2)) = (\lambda v_1+\lambda v_2, \lambda w_1+\lambda w_2) = (\lambda v_1, \lambda w_1) + (\lambda v_2, \lambda w_2)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{By (6) in } V \text{ and } W}$
 $= \lambda(v_1, w_1) + \lambda(v_2, w_2)$
 $(\lambda\mu)(v, w) = ((\lambda\mu)v, (\lambda\mu)w) = (\lambda v+\lambda\mu v, \lambda w+\lambda\mu w) = (\lambda v, \lambda w) + (\mu v, \mu w) = \lambda(v, w) + \mu(v, w)$. $\xrightarrow{\text{By (7) in } V \text{ and } W}$

Thus, it is a vector space by definition.

3. We check the 3 defining conditions for subspaces:

- 1) Since U and W are subspaces, $0 \in U$ and $0 \in W \Rightarrow 0 \in U \cap W$.
- 2) Let $u, v \in U \cap W$. Then $u, v \in U$ and $u, v \in W$. Consequently, $u+v \in U$ and $u+v \in W$ since they are subspaces. It follows that $u+v \in U \cap W$.
- 3) Let $u \in U \cap W$ and let $\lambda \in F$. Then, $u \in U$ and $u \in W$. Consequently, $\lambda u \in U$ and $\lambda u \in W$ since they are subspaces. It follows that $\lambda u \in U \cap W$.

Thus, $U \cap W$ is a subspace of V .

4. Since v_1+w, \dots, v_m+w are lin. dependent, $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{F}$ (not all equal to zero) such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(v_i+w) = 0$. It follows that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(v_i+w) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i + (\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i) \cdot w.$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 0$, then the previous eqn becomes $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i$ which forces $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$ since the v_i 's are lin. independent by hypothesis. But, we know that some λ_i is $\neq 0$. Contradiction.

Thus, $(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i) \neq 0$, and it follows that $w = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{-\lambda_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j} \right) v_i \Rightarrow w \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ as required.

The converse is false. Take $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, $m = 1$, $v_1 = (1, 0)$ and $w = (0, 0)$. Then, v_1 is a lin. independent list, $w \notin \text{span}\{v_1\}$ and $v_1+w=v_1$ is a lin. independent list.

5. Let $\deg(p)=m$ and $\deg(q)=n$. Since p, q are lin. independent, $p, q \neq 0 \Rightarrow p \cdot q \neq 0$. Thus, $p \cdot q$ is a non-zero polynomial of degree $m+n$. Let $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ and let $q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i$.

Case 1. If $m, n \geq 1$, we show that p, q, pq are linearly independent. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\lambda_1 p + \lambda_2 q + \lambda_3 pq = 0$. Then, the term of degree $m+n$ in $\lambda_1 p + \lambda_2 q + \lambda_3 pq$ is $\lambda_3 a_m b_n x^{m+n}$ since $n, m \geq 1$. Thus, one needs to have $\lambda_3 a_m b_n = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_3 = 0$ since $a_m \neq 0$ and $b_n \neq 0$ (otherwise, $\deg(p)$ or $\deg(q)$ would be smaller). We now have $\lambda_1 p + \lambda_2 q = 0$ which implies $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ since p and q are lin. independent by hypothesis. It follows that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0 \Rightarrow p, q, pq$ lin. indp.

Case 2. If $m = 0$, take $0 \cdot p + a_0 \cdot q + (-1) \cdot pq = a_0 \cdot q - a_0 \cdot q = 0 \Rightarrow$ lin. dep.

Case 3. If $n = 0$, take $b_0 \cdot p + 0 \cdot q + (-1) \cdot pq = b_0 \cdot p - b_0 \cdot p = 0 \Rightarrow$ lin. dep

6. Take $u_1 = (1, 0, -1)$ and $u_2 = (0, 1, -1)$. (Clearly, $u_1, u_2 \in U$).

Are they lin. indp.? Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 = 0$. Then, $\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 = (\lambda_1, 0, -\lambda_1) + (0, \lambda_2, -\lambda_2) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = (0, 0, 0)$ which implies $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$.

Do they span U ? Let $(x, y, z) \in U$. Then, $x+y+z=0$. Moreover, $x \cdot u_1 + y \cdot u_2 = (x, 0, -x) + (0, y, -y) = (x, y, -x-y) = (x, y, z)$.

By definition, u_1, u_2 form a basis.

7. Let v_1, \dots, v_m be a basis of V and w_1, \dots, w_n be a basis of W . Consider $(v_1, 0), \dots, (v_m, 0), (0, w_1), \dots, (0, w_n) \in V \times W$. We show it is a basis of $V \times W$.

Are they lin. indp.? Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{F}$ be such that $(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(v_i, 0)) + (\sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i, w_i)) = 0$. Then, by def. of scalar mult. and add in $V \times W$, we get $(0, 0) = (\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i w_i) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i w_i = 0$. But,

the v_i 's and w_i 's are lin. indp $\Rightarrow \lambda_i = 0 \forall i$ and $w_i = 0 \forall i$. \Rightarrow vectors are lin. indp.

Do they span? Let $(v, w) \in V \times W$. Since the v_i 's form a basis of V , $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i = v$. Since the w_i 's form a basis of W , $\exists \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i w_i = w$. (Consequently, $(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (v_i, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (0, w_i)$ \Rightarrow they span).

Now, since $V \times W$ admits a finite spanning set, it is finite dim'. Also, the basis defined above has length $n+m$. We conclude that

$$\dim(V \times W) = m+n = \dim V + \dim W.$$