

**Measure theory with  
ergodic horizons**

**HOMEWORK 4**

**Due: Mar 11**

1. Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and let  $d_\mu$  denote the usual pseudo-metric on  $\text{Meas}_\mu$  defined by  $d_\mu(A, B) := \mu(A \Delta B)$ .

(a) Prove that  $d_\mu$  is a complete pseudo-metric on  $\text{Meas}_\mu$ , i.e. every  $d_\mu$ -Cauchy sequence  $(M_n)$  converges in  $d_\mu$  to a set  $M \in \text{Meas}_\mu$ .

HINT: Note that it is enough to show that a subsequence of  $(M_n)$  converges, and pass to a subsequence  $(M_{n_k})$  so that  $d_\mu(M_{n_k}, M_{n_{k+1}}) \leq 2^{-k}$ . Then the error sets  $M_{n_k} \Delta M_{n_{k+1}}$  have summable measures, i.e.  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(M_{n_k} \Delta M_{n_{k+1}}) < \infty$ . Thus, almost every point of  $X$  is either eventually in  $M_{n_k}$  or eventually outside of  $M_{n_k}$ .

(b) If  $\mathcal{B}$  admits a countable generating subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the pseudo-metric space  $(\text{Meas}_\mu, d_\mu)$  is separable, hence a Polish pseudo-metric space.

HINT: The restriction of  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a premeasure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , so Tao's proof applies.

2. Let  $\mathbb{E}_0$  be the equivalence relation on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  of eventual equality, i.e.

$$x \mathbb{E}_0 y \Leftrightarrow \forall^\infty n \ x(n) = y(n),$$

where  $\forall^\infty n$  means for all large enough  $n$ . For each  $n$ , let  $\sigma_n : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  bit flip map, i.e.  $\sigma_n(x)$  is the same as  $x$  except that its  $n^{\text{th}}$  coordinate is equal to  $1 - x(n)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the group generated by all the  $\sigma_n$ . (Actually,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .) Then  $\Gamma$  naturally acts on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

(a) Realize that the orbit equivalence relation of this action is exactly  $\mathbb{E}_0$ .

(b) Note that the Bernoulli(1/2) measure is invariant under this action, i.e. for any  $\mu_{1/2}$ -measurable set  $A \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $\mu_{1/2}(\gamma A) = \mu_{1/2}(A)$ .

(c) Prove that every transversal for  $\mathbb{E}_0$  is not  $\mu_{1/2}$ -measurable.

(d) [Optional] Prove that for every  $p \in (0, 1)$ , every transversal for  $\mathbb{E}_0$  is not  $\mu_p$ -measurable.

3. Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a measure space and recall that for a sequence  $(A_n)$  of measurable sets,

$$\limsup_n A_n := \{x \in X : \exists^\infty n \ x \in A_n\}.$$

(a) Prove the following more general (and somehow less useful) version of the Borel–Cantelli lemma: If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(\limsup_n A_n) = \lim_N \mu(\bigcup_{n \geq N} A_n)$ .

(b) From this version of the Borel–Cantelli lemma, deduce the versions (a) and (b) discussed in class.

4. We say that a real  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  admits a **sequence of good rational approximations of exponent**  $\alpha > 0$  if there are infinitely many pairs  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}^+$  such that

$$\left| r - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^\alpha}.$$

**Dirichlet's approximation theorem** (or rather its immediate consequence) states that every real admits a sequence of good rational approximations of exponent 2.

Prove however that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , almost no real admits a sequence of good rational approximations of exponent  $2 + \varepsilon$ , i.e., the set  $B$  of all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  that admit a sequence of good rational approximations of exponent  $2 + \varepsilon$  is null (with respect to Lebesgue measure).

**HINT:** First note that it is enough to prove the statement for  $[0, 1)$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next, express  $B$  in terms of the sets

$$A_{p,q} := \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R} : \left| r - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^{2+\varepsilon}} \right\}$$

where  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $p < q$ . Finally, what is the measure of  $A_{p,q}$ ?